

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/675,914	<b>Applicant(s)</b> SELLEN ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> SEUNG H. LEE	<b>Art Unit</b> 2887	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** Examiner's Amdt

(1) SEUNG H. LEE.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. W. Daley (Reg.No. 52471).

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 12 January 2010

**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

69

Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*The Examiner suggested to amend claim 69 to include claim limitation cited in claim 70 and to file a terminal disclaimer for patent application no. 10/675590, and Mr. Daley agreed to the Examiner's suggestion.*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)